Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box \rightarrow [+]

PTO/SB08A (08-03)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to assemble to collection of information unless it committee a unit OMB control of the control of the

| Substitute for form 1449A/PTO | COMPLETE IF KNOWN | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | Application Number | 09/848,520 |
| INFORMATION DISCLOSURE | Confirmation Number | 7535 |
| STATEMENT BY APPLICANT | Filing Date | May 3, 2001 |
| (use as many sheets as necessary) | First Named Inventor | Eric C. Berg, et al. |
| | Group Art Unit | 2128 |
| | Examiner Name | Fred O. Ferris III |
| SHEET 1 of 1 | Attorney Docket Number | 8070ML\$ |

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

| | EXAMINER INITIALS* | Cita No.1 | brolude name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), dato, pago(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published | r |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|--|-----------|
| 4 | | | Two of the assignee's production systems were modeled using reliability engineering | |
| ı | | | techniques to predict start up of the systems. The modeling relied upon only competing | |
| | | | cause fallure analysis. | |
| 1 | | | A specific piece of equipment, known as a splicer used to join two webs together was | |
| ı | | | modeled. The splicer was considered to have a failure at the end of each roll of material | <u> </u> |
| 1 | | - | to be joined. Therefore, the model was considered to be a cumulative failure for the | |
| ı | | | limited run time of the splicer upon reaching the end of the roll. Thus, the modeling was | 1 1 |
| 1 | | | done using cumulative and competing cause failure analysis. This modeling was used in | |
| 1 | | | a decision to buy a new splicer. That splicer was, in turn, used to make product which | i 1 |
| - Į | | | was shipped to the trade. | |
| | | | | \square |
| | | | | \vdash |
| | | | | \vdash |
| | | | | |
| ſ | | | | \vdash |
| ſ | | | | |
| ſ | | | | |
| Ī | | | | |
| Ī | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | EXAMINE | | DATE CONSIDERED () | \Box |
| | | | | |

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line/through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 37 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO-SB08 (Revised for P&G use 11/4/2004)